

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENTOF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/604,247	07/04/2003	CHIH-HUNG WANG	10997-US-PA	1246	
31561	7590 06/30/2005		EXAMINER		
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE 7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100 ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2			PEIKARI, BEHZAD		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TAIPEI, 10	•		2189		
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005	DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b> </b>		1 2		A			
Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/604,2	247	WANG, CHIH-HUNG			
		Examine	or	Art Unit			
		B. James		2189			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on th	e cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commus period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wreply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evaluation. of days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be stutory minimum of thirty (30) will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fi plication to become ABANDC	e timely filed  days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this communication,  DNED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>04</u> July 2003.					
· —							
/—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from co					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)🛛	D)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 July 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any object		•	• •			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to The oath or declaration is objected to						
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office actions	documents have be documents have be of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applic ents have been rece tle 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage			
Attachmen	• •		🗖 :				
		「O-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma				
3) Infor Pape	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date			al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F		5) Notice of Inform	il Date			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/604,247

Art Unit: 2189

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Drawings

The drawings are objected to because the text contained in the drawing includes 1. terms that are unclear, as described below for the specification, e.g., "host end", "writing block" or "Seructure" (note Figure 4). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/604,247

Art Unit: 2189

# Specification

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. Furthermore, the brackets should be removed from the title.
- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because:
  - (a) "large" should replace "big size";
  - (b) the phrase "writing block" is unclear;
  - (c) "the last page" is unclear (i.e., "last page" of what?);
- (d) it is unclear how the "logical block", "data block" and "writing block" are distinct; one of ordinary skill in the art would recognized that logical memory blocks allowed writing of data thereto;
  - (e) "latest page" is unclear (does it mean most recently transferred page?);
  - (f) "with respect to" is unclear in this context.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

4. A substitute specification in proper idiomatic English and in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b) is required. The substitute specification filed must be accompanied by a statement that it contains no new matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,247

Art Unit: 2189

# Claim Objections

5. Claims 1-11 are objected to because they contain several errors in grammar and English idiom. In claim 1, for example, "large" should replace "big size" and "temporary data" should replace "data temporary"

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 7. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contain subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. For example, the phrases "writing block", "last page" and "cross a page" are not properly explained in the specification. The whole concept of how a "last page" (or "latest page") is used appears to be critical to an understanding of the invention, however, this concept has not been clearly explained.
- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,247 Page 5

Art Unit: 2189

.9. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Terms such as those described in Section 7 above are undefined. Consequently it is unclear what claims such as claim 1 are about. Claim 4 is *very* confusing. The remaining claims are rejected for similar reasons.

#### Conclusion

- 10. It is not possible from either the specification or the claims to determine the scope of this language or to determine the metes and bounds of the claims. Due to the ambiguities in claims 1-11 as cited above, no art has been applied thereto, see *In re Steele*, 49 CCPA 1295, 305 F. 2d 859, 134 USPQ 292 (1962) and *In re Wilson*, 424 F.2d 1382, 165 USPQ 494 (CCPA 1970). The examiner will not speculate as to the intended meaning.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Peikari whose telephone number is (571) 272-4185. The examiner is generally available between 7:00 am and 7:30 pm, EST, Monday through Wednesday, and between 5:30 am and 4:00 pm on Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Kim, can be reached at (571) 272-4182.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/604,247

Art Unit: 2189

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

B. James Peikari Primary Examiner Art Unit 2189

6/27/05